

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing?: No

I am able to attend:

I intend to attend:

Would you like to be added to our database to be notified of future consultations?: Yes

### **Your Details**

Full Name: Grahame Kelly

### **Do you agree with our preferred option or do you support another option?**

Drinking water meters: Don't install meters

Let us know why: The cost of installing metres is way over the top when there are many other options for determining water leaks in pipes. We do not want people restricting water usage because of possible down-the-line change of policy where folk are charged for their specific water use. Our down looks pretty good with greenery at the moment. I shudder to think that it may look like a desert if watering charges became the norm. I also think the local authorities should have a good look at their own water usage and keep it contained to a bare minimum if the quantity of water available is so restricted.

Elderly Persons Housing: Self sustaining in 7 years

Let us know why: The elderly are hard hit by their age alone. They don't need to be hit by large increases in rent etc. Old people don't have a lot of 'good years' left of their life so they should be able to live them out in reasonable comfort and in an affordable manner. I also think the housing needs to be seriously means tested (I think it is already).

What do you think about our plans for our other projects and activities?: I like the idea for the second bridge is sound thinking. I think it unsound thinking to put it in proximity to the existing bridge to appease a few self-serving business who are afraid to change with the development and growth of the town. The town is spreading as population grows, nothing is going to change that. The by-pass and second bridge needs to skirt the built-up area of the town, as is already planned, is my thinking. We don't want to go to the expense of changing plans mid-stream because a few people are disgruntled with the established planning. Another view of mine is that Environment Canterbury is getting out of hand with their costs incorporated into our rates. Seems like they generate projects and operate as if their was an empty pit of money available to them with no consequences. Their staffing salaries and self promoting publications and their printing bill must be horrendous.